

UNITED STATES PATENT APPLICATION

*of*

Michael Joseph Delcheccolo  
Mark E. Russell  
Walter Gordon Woodington  
Joseph S. Pleva  
H. Barteld Van Rees

*for*

NEAR OBJECT DETECTION SYSTEM

DALY, CROWLEY & MOFFORD, LLP  
275 Turnpike Street, Suite 101  
Canton, MA 02021-2310  
Telephone (781) 401-9988  
Facsimile (781) 401-9966

**Express Mail Label No.:ET136948868US**

09971631.031601

## NEAR OBJECT DETECTION SYSTEM

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/226,160,  
5 filed on August 16, 2000 and is hereby incorporated herein by reference in its entirety.

STATEMENTS REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH

Not applicable.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

In view of the dangers associated with automobile travel, there is an ongoing need for enhanced driver awareness. One possible area of increased driver awareness involves detection of objects around a vehicle. As the vehicle approaches objects (e.g. other cars, pedestrians and obstacles) or as objects approach the vehicle a driver cannot always detect the object and perform intervention actions necessary to avoiding a collision with the object. For example a driver of a vehicle may not be able to detect an object in the so-called "blind spot" of the vehicle.

To reduce the number of truck accidents, for example, sensor systems or more simply "sensors" for detecting objects around a truck have been suggested. Such sensors typically include an optical or infrared (IR) detector for detecting obstacles in the path of the vehicle.

20 In such an application, it is necessary to provide a sensor capable of accurately and reliably detecting objects in the path of the vehicle.

Radar is a suitable technology for implementing a sensor for use in vehicles such as automobiles and trucks. One type of radar suitable for this purpose is Frequency Modulated Continuous Wave (FMCW) radar. In typical FMCW radar, the frequency of the transmitted CW  
25 signal linearly increases from a first predetermined frequency to a second predetermined frequency. FMCW radar has the advantages of high sensitivity, relatively low transmitter power and good range resolution.

Aspects of the sensors which contribute to its accuracy and reliability include its susceptibility to noise and the overall precision with which received radio frequency (RF) signals  
30 are processed to detect objects within the field of view of the sensor. Susceptibility to noise for example can cause false detections and, even more deleteriously, cause an object to go undetected.

Further significant attributes of the sensors are related to its physical size and form factor. Preferably, the sensor is housed in a relatively small enclosure or housing mountable behind the a surface of the vehicle. For accuracy and reliability, it is imperative that the transmit and receive antenna and circuitry of the sensor are unaffected by attributes of the vehicle (e.g. the vehicle grill, bumper or the like) and that the sensors are mounted to the vehicle in a predictable alignment.

It would, therefore, be desirable to provide a sensor system which is capable of detecting objects all around a vehicle. It would also be desirable to provide a system which can be adapted to provide detection zones around vehicles of different sizes. It would be further desirable to provide a system which can remotely re-programmed.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

In accordance with the present invention, a near object detection (NOD) system includes a plurality of radio frequency (RF) transmit receive (TR) sensor modules (or more simply "sensors") disposed about a vehicle such that one or more detection zones are deployed about the vehicle. In a preferred embodiment, the sensors are disposed such that each sensor detects object in one or more coverage zones which substantially surround the vehicle. First ones or the plurality of sensors can be mounted in rear and/or front bumpers of the vehicle while second ones of the sensors can be mounted in the side panels of the vehicle. Each of the sensors includes a sensor antenna system which comprises a transmit antenna for emitting or transmitting an RF signal and a receive antenna for receiving portions of the transmitted RF signal which are intercepted by one or more objects within a field of view of the transmit antenna and reflected back toward the receive antenna. Alternatively, a monostatic antenna can be used. The transmit antenna can be provided from a planar array of antenna elements while the receive antenna can be provided from a planar array of antenna elements or from a single row of antenna elements. That is, the transmit and receive antennas can be provided having different numbers and types of antenna elements. The NOD system further includes a receiver circuit, coupled to the receive antenna, for receiving signals from the receive antenna and for determining whether an RF leakage signal coupled from the transmit antenna to the receive antenna exceeds a predetermined leakage signal threshold level.

With this particular arrangement, a NOD system which detects objects in any region about a vehicle is provided. If one the sensors determines that the vehicle is approaching an

object or that an object is approaching the vehicle, then the sensor initiates steps which are carried out in accordance with a set of detection rules.

In one embodiment, the system is provided as a distributed processor system in which each of the sensors includes a processor. The sensors are each coupled together to allow the sensors to share information. In another embodiment, each of the sensors is coupled to a central sensor processor which receives information from each of the sensors and processes the information accordingly.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The foregoing features of this invention, as well as the invention itself, may be more fully understood from the following description of the drawings in which:

Figure 1 is a block diagram of a near object detection (NOD) system disposed on a vehicle;

Figure 2 is a diagram of vehicle surrounded by a cocoon of sensor zones provided from a NOD system of the type shown in Figure 1;

Figure 3 is a diagram of a vehicle surrounded by a plurality sensor zones provided from a NOD system of the type shown in Figure 1 and traveling a long a road with other vehicles in proximity to it;

Figure 4 is a diagram of a vehicle surrounded by a plurality of targets with one target appearing in a sensor zone of two different sensors;

Figures 4A and 4B are a series of plots corresponding to radar reports in respective local coordinate systems of two different sensors;

Figures 4C and 4D are a series of plots corresponding to radar reports from the two different sensors in Figures 4A and 4B shown in a global coordinate system of a CT/DF processor;

Figure 5 is a block diagram of an near object detection (NOD) system having a central tracker/data fusion (CT/DF) processor;

Figure 6 is a block diagram of an near object detection (NOD) system disposed on a vehicle with the vehicle having a single sensor processing system; and

Figure 7 is a flow diagram of the processing steps needed to perform a fusing operation.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

Referring now to Figure 1, a near-object detection (NOD) system 10 is disposed on a vehicle 11 which is here shown in phantom since it is not properly a part of the NOD system 10. The vehicle 11 may be provided for example, as an automotive vehicle such as car, motorcycle, or truck, or a marine vehicle such as a boat or an underwater surface vehicle or as an agricultural vehicle such as a harvester. In this particular embodiment, the near-object detection system 10 includes a forward-looking sensor (FLS) 12 which may be of the type described in U.S. Patent No. 5,929,802 entitled "Automotive Forward Looking Sensor Application," issued July 27, 1999, assigned to the assignee of the present invention, a plurality of side-looking sensor (SLS) systems 16-22 (also referred to as side object detection (SOD) systems 16-22) which may be of the type described in co-pending U.S. Patent Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ entitled "Radar Transmitter Circuitry and Techniques," filed August 16, 2001, assigned to the assignee of the present invention and a plurality of rear-looking sensor (RLS) systems 24, 26. The sensors 16-28 may be coupled to the vehicle using a variety of techniques including but not limited to those described in co-pending U.S. Patent Application No. \_\_\_\_\_, entitled System and Technique for Mounting a Radar System on a Vehicle, filed August 16, 2001, assigned to the assignee of the present invention. The system 10 can also include a stop and go (SNG) sensor 27. It should be understood that the processing performed by the stop and go sensor 27 and detection zone provided by the sensor 27 can also be provided by the FLS 12 and thus sensor 27 can be omitted. In deciding whether to provide the stop and go processing function from FLS 12 or through a separate sensor (e.g. SNG sensor 27), a trade-off must be made. Exemplary trade off considerations include minimum and maximum desired detection range, zone edge tolerances and reaction time.

The FLS, EOS, SLS, RLS and SNG (if included) systems 12-27 are each coupled to a bus 28 which provides a communication path between each of the sensors 12-27. The bus 28 may be provided, for example, as a local area network (LAN) 28. In some embodiments, it may be desirable to provide the LAN 28 as a wireless LAN.

It should be appreciated that system 10 is a real-time system and thus information should be exchanged / transferred between each of the sensor 12-27 and the processor 30 as rapidly as possible. Thus, bus 28 must be capable of supporting relatively high rates of data transfer.

For example, it may be desirable for bus 28 to have an average bus bandwidth of about 157 kbits per second plus additional for protocol overhead. This bandwidth is computed assuming that the transmit and receive antennas each have seven antenna beams and that each of the seven antenna beams has two (2) tracks on average and that each track is reported at 14 Hz (min) at 100 bytes per track ( $7 \times 2 \times 14 \times 100 \times 8 = 157$  kbits average bus bandwidth). Thus, although it is possible to have the sensors communicate through a conventional bus as are presently available on vehicles (e.g. the Car Area Network (CAN)), it may be desirable to provide bus 28 as a dedicated bus having at least if not more than the above noted average bus bandwidth.

For a relatively simple configuration, the bus latency should introduce less than 0.5m of delay. At a vehicle speed of about 200 km/hr this translates to about 9 milliseconds (ms) or about 300 clock cycles at a clock frequency of about 33 KHz.

It is thus necessary to perform a bus selection tradeoff to best achieve a number of bus and system characteristics including but not limited to utilization, latency, fusion performance, fault tolerance, interference immunity, reliability, and cost.

The sensors are also coupled through the bus 28 to a central tracker/data fusion (CT/DF) processor 30 which will be described below in conjunction with Figures 4, 6 and 7. Suffice it here to say that CT/DF processor 30 received information provided thereto from each of the sensors 12-27 and provides information to each of the sensors 12-27. The sensors 12-27 utilize the information provided by the CT/DF processor 30 to improve the overall performance of the system 10 as will become apparent.

Also coupled to CT/DF processor 30 through the bus 28 is a human interface 32. The purpose of the interface 32 is to display or otherwise communicate (e.g. via audio or other signals) information collected by the sensors 12-28 to a driver or other occupant of the vehicle 11. The interface 32 may be provided, for example, as a heads-up display .

In this particular embodiment, the CT/DF processor 30 is shown as a processor which is provided as part of the sensor 12 to which each of the FLS, EOS, SLS, RLS and SNG sensors 12-27 are coupled via the bus 28 or other means. It should be appreciated that in an alternate embodiment, one or more of the FLS, EOS, SLS, RLS and SNG sensors 12-27 may include its own CT/DF processors to perform the processing required to directly share information (e.g. transmit and receive information) with other ones of the sensors 12-27. In the case where it is desired to have redundancy in the CT/DF processing functions, it may be desirable to provide two of the sensors 12-27 with a CT/DF processor 30. In the case where

each of the sensors 12-27 includes its own CT/DF system, the near-object detection system could be provided as a distributed processor system. The trade-offs between distributed vs. single master processor include, but are not limited to, reliability, bus bandwidth, processing latency, and cost.

5 In one embodiment the CT/DF processor 30 provides specific information to specific a one or ones of the sensors 12-27 and in other embodiments the CT/DF processor 30 provides all information to each of the sensors 12-27.

As shown in Figure 1, at least one sensor 12-27 includes a central tracker data fusion processor 30 and each of the sensors 12-27 send data over the bus 28 to the CT/DF processor 30. Regardless of whether the near-object detection system includes a single or multiple CT/DF processors 30, the information collected by each of the sensors 12-27 is shared and the processor (or processors in the case of a distributed system) implements a decision or rule tree. For example, as shown in Fig. 1, the sensor processor is coupled to the airbag system of the vehicle. In response to signals from one or more of the FLS, EOS, SLS, and RLS systems, the sensor processor may determine that it is appropriate to "pre-arm" the airbag of the vehicle. Other examples include braking and steering boost, transmission control, alarms, horn and/or flasher activation.

The NOD system 10 may thus be used for a number of functions including but not limited to blind spot detection, lane change detection, pre-arming of vehicle air bags and to perform a lane stay function, and the above-mentioned pre-arm airbag function. The CT/DF processor 30 thus receives all information provided thereto and optimizes performance of the NODS system for the entire vehicle. Field of view/detection zones or thresholding may be dynamically controlled based on track info from the entire system. Track hand-offs may allow sensors to respond quicker or more reliably given cue data by avoiding or reducing acquisition verification steps.

The pair of RLS sensors 24, 26 can utilize a triangulation scheme to detect objects in the rear portion of the vehicle. Location (distance and direction) of an object may be determined from two distance reading from two sensors without the need for any direction finding information. The intersection of two circles drawn around each sensor with radius equal to its range measurement provides two solutions for the location of the object, one of which is a practical impossibility located inside the host vehicle 11 and can, therefore, be eliminated.

It should be appreciated that one or more of the sensors 12-27 may be removably deployed on the vehicle 11. That is, in some embodiments the SLS, RLS, and FLS sensors may be disposed external to the body of the vehicle (i.e. disposed on an exposed surface of the vehicle body), while in other systems one or more of the sensors 12-27 may be embedded into bumpers or other portions of vehicle (e.g. doors, panels, quarter panels, and vehicle front ends, and vehicle rear ends). Its is also possible to provide a system which is both mounted inside the vehicle (e.g., in the bumper or other location) and which is also removable.

Referring now to Figure 2, in which like elements of Figure are provided having like reference designations, the vehicle 11 on which a NOD system is disposed is shown surrounded by a plurality of detection zones 32-40 which form a radar cocoon around the vehicle. It should be appreciated that different zones of the sensors 12-27 (Figure 1) provide different ones of the detection zones 32-40. In particular, sensors 12 and 14 provide adaptive cruise control and night vision zone 34, sensor 16 provides a lane keeping zone, sensor 18 provides road departure zone, 36b respectively, sensors 20, 22 provide side object detection zones 38a, 38b respectively, sensors 24, 26 provide backup and parking aid zone 40 and sensor 27 provides stop and go zone 42. The Adaptive Cruise Control/Night Vision zone is of limited angular extent and characterized by long range, e.g., >50m, and high velocity object. The road departure and lane keeping zones are shorter range and wider angular extent with a moderate range of velocities. The stop-and-go and back-up/parking aid zones are wide in angular extent, but very short range and only need to operate over a smaller range of velocities. The back-up/parking aid zone may also provide rear collision warning information during normal driving condition. The side object detection zones have wide angular extent, are relatively short in range and must operate over a high range of velocities.

It should also be appreciated that the size, shape and other characteristics of each of the sensor zones can be modified. There are many reasons for wanting to change one or more characteristics of a detection zone including car size and peripheral vision preference. Other possible reasons for wanting to change the detection zone size include towing a trailer, lane size change, and personal preference among vehicle operators.

Since the characteristics of a single sensor can be changed to allow the sensor to provide detection capabilities in coverage zones of different sizes and shapes, the sensor can also be used on a vehicle which is larger or smaller than the vehicle as shown in Fig. 2. Thus, modification of a coverage zone provided by a particular sensor can be accomplished by



programming the sensor.

In one embodiment, the coverage zone can be modified by adjusting the range gates of the sensor as described in co-pending U.S. Patent Application 09/\_\_\_\_\_, entitled "Technique for Changing a Range Gate and Radar Coverage," filed August 16, 2001 assigned to the assignee of the present invention and incorporated herein by reference. In another embodiment, the coverage zone is changed by using a reconfigurable antenna. In still another embodiment, the reconfigurable antenna is provided by using microelectromechanical (MEMS) devices which are used to change beam shape and thus beam coverage. The MEMS can change the aperture shape and thus the shape of the beam.

It should be noted that with the particular configuration of sensors shown in Fig. 1, seven coverage zones 32-40 are provided as shown in Figure 2. Each of the coverage zones utilize RF detection systems. The RF detection system utilizes an antenna system which provides multiple beams in each of the coverage zones. In this manner, the particular direction in which another object approaching the vehicle or vice-versa can be found. In one particular embodiment, the FLS sensor 12 (Figure 1) utilizes an antenna system which includes eight separate antenna beams. Therefore, the RF system can operate in a manner similar to that described in the above-referenced Patent No. 5,929,802. Similarly, the sensors 16-27 utilizes an antenna system which includes seven separate antenna beams. Therefore, the RF system can operate in a manner similar to that described in the above-referenced U.S. Patent Application No. 09/\_\_\_\_\_, entitled "Radar Transmitter Circuitry and Techniques."

Referring now to Fig. 3, a vehicle 11 having a NOD system disposed thereon travels on a road 41 having three lanes 41a, 41b, 41c. Vehicle 11 is in lane 41b and a first vehicle 50 is in front of the vehicle 11 and appears in detection zone 34. A second vehicle 52 is to the right of vehicle 11 in lane 41a and appears in detection zone 36a. A third vehicle 54 is behind vehicle 11 in lane 41b and appears in detection zone 40. A fourth vehicle 56 is behind and to the left of vehicle 11 in lane 41c. Since vehicle 56 is relatively far away from vehicle 11, vehicle 56 does not appear in any detection zone and thus is not sensed by the NOD system disposed on vehicle 11.

As shown in Figure 3, the NOD system has identified three vehicles or targets 50, 52, 54 in proximity to the vehicle 11. The NOD system maintains information on each target 50-54 and provides such information to a user (e.g. via display 32 in Figure 1) or performs certain functions (e.g. pre-arm airbag system of the vehicle).

Furthermore, since the sensors 12-27 are in communication with CT/DF processor 30 and with each other, the sensors can share information about targets. For example, assume sensor 18 mounted on vehicle 11 detects the target 52 and begins to track the target 52. After a period of time the target 52 may begin to accelerate past the vehicle 11. If the sensor 18 is able to detect that target 52 will move past vehicle 11 on the right hand side, the sensor 18 can provide this information to the FLS 12. The information may be in the form of a track file, or similar set of data indicating a target in the vehicle 's 11 coordinate system. Such a track file allows the FLS to have present and predicted target positional information before the FLS can actually observe/detect the target. Thus, the FLS 12 is provided advance information about a confirmed target (i.e. a "real" target) prior to the FLS 12 itself actually detecting, acquiring, confirming and tracking the target. Detecting refers to a target return being above some predetermined threshold. Acquiring uses predetermined rules used to ensure that a detected target is a "real" target in order to reduce false alarms.

Providing the FLS with advance information (e.g. the information that a confirmed target will be entering its field of view from the right hand side of the vehicle 11) may allow the FLS 12 to proceed to a target tracking process without first performing target detection, target acquisition or target confirmation processes or at least with a minimal amount of processing required to perform such processes. Since the FLS 12 can confirm the target and target track via the information from sensor 18 rather than by spending processing time confirming that the vehicle 52 is indeed a real target entering the field of view of the FLS 12, the FLS is able to perform more processing functions such as tracking of multiple targets and other functions to be described below. Thus, providing advance information to the FLS allows the FLS 12 to more rapidly track a target and in particular allows the FLS 12 to more rapidly detect and track--called so-called "cut-in" targets (i.e. targets which quickly move into lane 41b in front of the vehicle 11).

More importantly perhaps, it is advantageous for the FLS 12 to have such advance knowledge since by providing the FLS 12 with information related to the path of target 52 prior to the target 52 entering the detection zone of the FLS 12, the FLS 12 is able to initiate, or in some cases even to carry out, processes related to the engagement of defensive measures including but not limited to pre-arming of air bags, automatic adjustment of automatic cruise control (ACC) systems and pre-arming braking systems. Thus the FLS is able to execute other functions related to operation of the vehicle.

It should be appreciated that the CT/FS processor is both a "target tracker" which performs a tracking function and a "data fuser" which performs a fusing function. The central tracking function of the CT/DF processor is to receive and maintain all tracks from various sensors (e.g. sensors 12-27 in Figure 1) in the system 10 (Figure 1) and to also to aid other sensors in their performance as described above.

Referring now to Figures 4-4D in which like element, Figures 1-3 are provided having like reference designations in operation, multiple ones of the sensors 12-27 (Fig. 1) can track the same target. As shown in Figure 4 for example, the targets 52 and 54 both appear in the field of view of the sensor 18 and thus the sensor 18 tracks both of these targets. Sensor 18 has multiple (seven) antenna beams 57a-57g which corresponding to rows in the plot of Figure 4A while columns correspond to range cells. Therefore, the closest detection of target 52 in the leftmost beam corresponds to detection 59a. Similarly, sensor 18 detection at a slightly longer range of target 54 in the right-most beam corresponds to cell 59d. Similarly, the targets 54 and 56 both appear in the field of view of the sensor 20 and thus the sensor 20 tracks both of these targets. Sensor 20 has multiple antenna beams 58a-58g which correspond to rows in the plot of Figure 4B while columns correspond to range cells. Therefore, the closest detection of target 54 in the leftmost beam corresponds to detection 60a. Similarly, sensor 20 detection at a slightly longer range of target 56 in the right-most beam corresponds to cell 60d. Therefore, both sensors 18, 20 track the target 54.

Since sensors 18 and 20 are located on different points of the vehicle 11, the sensors track the targets from two different aspect angles. Moreover, each of the sensors 18, 20 has its own unique local coordinate system. Thus, the sensors 18, 20 are alone unable to determine that they are each tracking the same target and both sensors 18, 20 provide their track information to the CT/DF processor 30 as two different track files.

The CT/DF processor 30, on the other hand, has information which identifies the physical location on the vehicle 11 of each of the sensors 12-27; the relative position of the sensors on a particular vehicle remains fixed allowing the CT/DF to transform sensor data to a vehicle fixed coordinate system and to transmit target track data transformed into any of the sensor's convenience coordinate system.

The CT/DF processor 30 is thus able to transform coordinate information provided from each of the sensors 18, 20 to its own global coordinate system. Thus the CT/DF processor 30 views the position of each target detected by each of the sensors 18, 20 (and

generally by any of the sensors 12-27) in a single coordinate system.

Since all target information appears in a single coordinate system, the CT/DF is able to rapidly identify those targets which are being tracked by multiple sensors. Thus, the CT/DF processor 30 is able to fuse data from each track provided by each sensor into a common filter, or simply select the highest quality data, as determined by tracking noise, e.g. sensors 18, 20, to assist and improve the performance of other sensors and thus improve the performance of the overall NOD system.

In one particular embodiment, the process performed by the CT/DF processor to fuse targets begins by transforming all local target data into a global coordinate system. This can be accomplished by performing one or more coordinate transformations. Then, the CT/DF processor tracks the association of each sensor with prior fused tracks. Association is the process of comparing 'new' data and its assumed quality (expected error statistics) with existing track projections and its assumed quality. Incoming data which is deemed likely to be consistent (correlate) with a track due to a small location difference compared to the assumed tracking and measurement error is said to associate, and the incoming data is assumed to be from the same physical target as the track. Incoming data which is deemed unlikely to be consistent with a track due to a high degree of location difference compared to the assumed tracking and measurement error are said to not associate.. Next, the CT/DF processor tracks recursive updates and the CT/DF processor initiates tracks for unassociated data since these are assumed to be previously untracked target and then the CT/DF processor drops tracks when out of view.

Referring now to Fig. 5, a radar system 66 includes an antenna portion 67 having transmit and receive antennas 68, 69, a microwave portion 70 having both a transmitter 72 and a receiver 74, and an electronics portion 78 containing a digital signal processor (DSP) 80, a power supply 82, control circuits 84 and a digital interface unit (DIU) 86. The transmitter 72 includes a digital ramp signal generator for generating a control signal for a voltage controlled oscillator (VCO), which may be provided for example as the type described in aforementioned co-pending U.S. Patent Application entitled "Radar Transmitter Circuitry And Techniques."

The radar system 66 utilizes radar technology to detect one or more objects, or targets in the field of view of the system 66 and may be used in various applications. In the illustrative embodiment, the radar system 66 may be of the type which can be used as a sensor

module in a near object detection system of an automotive radar system such as NOD system 10 described above in conjunction with Figure 1. In particular, radar system 66 is appropriate for use as a side object detection (SOD) module or sensor such one of sensors 16-27 described above in conjunction with Figure 1. As described above, such sensors are adapted  
5 for mounting on an automobile or other vehicle 96 for the purpose of detecting objects, including but not limited to other vehicles, trees, signs, pedestrians, and other objects which can be located proximate a path on which the vehicle is located. As will be apparent to those of ordinary skill in the art, the radar system 66 is also suitable for use in many different types of applications including but not limited to marine applications in which radar system 60 can be disposed on a boat, ship or other sea vessel.

The transmitter 72 operates as a Frequency Modulated Continuous Wave (FMCW) radar, in which the frequency of the transmitted signal linearly increases from a first predetermined frequency to a second predetermined frequency. FMCW radar has the advantages of high sensitivity, relatively low transmitter power and good range resolution.  
10 However, it will be appreciated that other types of transmitters may be used.

Control signals are provided by the vehicle 96 to the radar system 60 via a control signal bus 92 and may include a yaw rate signal corresponding to a yaw rate associated with the vehicle 96 and a velocity signal corresponding to the velocity of the vehicle. The DSP 80 processes these control signals and radar return signals received by the radar system 66, in  
15 order to detect objects within the field of view of the radar system 66.

The radar system 66 further includes a CT/DF processor 88. The DSP 80 is coupled through the CT/DF processor 88 to a digital interface unit (DIU) 86. In other embodiments of the radar system 60 the CT/DF processor 88 may be omitted in which case the DSP 80 is directly coupled to the digital interface unit 86. CT/DF processor 88 may be of the type  
20 described above in conjunction with Figures 1-3 and to be described further below. Thus the CT/DF processor 88 receives signals from DSP 80 and also receives information through the DIU 86 from other radar systems 66 disposed about the vehicle 96. The data may be in the form of a track file, or raw detection data in the sensor's coordinate system. The CT/DF may also provide cue data to the sensor dependent on target track initiated from detection from  
25 other sensors.

The radar system 66 provides to the vehicle 96 one or more output signals characterizing an object within its field of view via an output signal bus 94 to the vehicle.  
30

These output signals may include a range signal indicative of a range associated with the target, a range rate signal indicative of a range rate associated with the target and an azimuth signal indicative of the azimuth associated with the target relative to the vehicle 96. The output signals may be coupled to a control unit of the vehicle 96 for various uses such as in an intelligent cruise control system or a collision avoidance system.

The antenna assembly 67 includes the receive antenna 68 for receiving RF signals and the transmit antenna 69 for transmitting RF signals. In this particular example, the radar system 66 corresponds to a bistatic radar system since it includes separate transmit and receive antennas positioned proximate one another. The antennas 68, 69 provide multiple beams at steering angles that are controlled in parallel as to point a transmit and a receive beam in the same direction. Various circuitry for selecting the angle of the respective antennas 68, 69 is suitable, including a multi-position switch. An appropriate antenna system may be provided for example as the type described in the aforementioned co-pending U.S. Patent Application No. 09/\_\_\_\_\_, entitled "Switched Beam Antenna Architecture."

Referring also to Figure 6, an illustrative application for the radar system 10 of Figure 1 is shown in the form of an automotive near object detection (NOD) system 100. The NOD system 100 is disposed on a vehicle 120 which may be provided for example, as an automotive vehicle such as car, motorcycle, or truck, or a marine vehicle such as a boat or an underwater vehicle or as an agricultural vehicle such as a harvester. In this particular embodiment, the NOD system 100 includes a forward-looking sensor (FLS) system 122, an Electro-Optic Sensor (EOS) system 124, a plurality of side-looking sensor (SLS) systems 128 or equivalently side object detection (SOD) systems 128 and a plurality of rear-looking sensor (RLS) systems 130. In the illustrative embodiment, the radar system 10 of Figure 1 which is shown in greater detail in Figure 3 is a SOD system 128.

Each of the FLS, EOS, SLS, and RLS systems is coupled to a sensor processor 134. In this particular embodiment, the sensor processor 134 is shown as a central processor to which each of the FLS, EOS, SLS, and RLS systems is coupled via a bus or other means. It should be appreciated that in an alternate embodiment, one or more of the FLS, EOS, SLS, and RLS systems may include its own processors, such as the DSP 80 of Figure 4, to perform the processing described below. In this case, the NOD system 100 would be provided as a distributed processor system.

Regardless of whether the NOD system 100 includes a single or multiple processors, the information collected by each of the sensor systems 122, 124, 128, 130 is shared and the processor 134 (or processors in the case of a distributed system) implements a decision or rule tree. The NOD system 100 may be used for a number of functions including but not limited to blind spot detection, lane change detection, pre-arming of vehicle air bags and to perform a lane stay function. For example, the sensor processor 134 may be coupled to the airbag system of the vehicle 132. In response to signals from one or more of the FLS, EOS, SLS, and RLS systems, the sensor processor may determine that it is appropriate to "pre-arm" the airbag of the vehicle. Other examples are also possible.

The EOS system 124 includes an optical or IR sensor or any other sensor which provides relatively high resolution in the azimuth plane of the sensor. The pair of RLS systems 130 can utilize a triangulation scheme to detect objects in the rear portion of the vehicle. The FLS system 122 is described in the aforementioned U.S. Patent No. 5,929,802. It should be appreciated that each of the SLS and RLS sensors may be provided having the same antenna system.

Each of the sensor systems is disposed on the vehicle 120 such that a plurality of coverage zones exist around the vehicle. Thus, the vehicle is enclosed in a cocoon-like web or wrap of sensor zones. With the particular configuration shown in Figure 2, four coverage zones 68a-68d are used. Each of the coverage zones 68a-68d utilizes one or more RF detection systems. The RF detection system utilizes an antenna system which provides multiple beams in each of the coverage zones 68a-68d. In this manner, the particular direction from which another object approaches the vehicle or vice-versa can be found. One particular antenna which can be used is described in U.S. Patent Application No. 09/\_\_\_\_\_, entitled "Slot Antenna Element For An Array Antenna," filed August 16, 2001 and assigned to the assignee of the present invention and the aforementioned U.S. Patent Application No. 09/\_\_\_\_\_, entitled "Switched Beam Antenna Architecture."

It should be appreciated that the SLS, RLS, and the FLS systems may be removably deployed on the vehicle. That is, in some embodiments the SLS, RLS, and FLS sensors may be disposed external to the body of the vehicle (i.e. on an exposed surface of the vehicle body), while in other systems the SLS, RLS, and FLS systems may be embedded into bumpers or other portions of vehicle (e.g. doors, panels, quarter panels, vehicle front ends,

and vehicle rear ends). It is also possible to provide a system which is both mounted inside the vehicle (e.g., in the bumper or other location) and which is also removable. The system for mounting can be of one of the types described in U.S. Patent Application No. 09/\_\_\_\_\_, entitled "System And Technique For Mounting A Radar System On A Vehicle," filed August 16, 2001 and assigned to the assignee of the present invention and U.S. Patent Application No. 09/\_\_\_\_\_, entitled "Portable Object Detection System" filed August 16, 2001 and assigned to the assignee of the present invention and these applications are incorporated by reference herein.

Referring now to Fig. 7, a flow diagram which shows the processing performed by a CT/DF processor such as processor 30 (Figure 1), processor 88 (Figure 4) or processor 134 (Figure 5) begins by collecting sensor data including infrared (IR), radar and imaging measurement data from sensors such as the sensors 12-27 described above in conjunction with Figure 1. The sensor data is provided to an Multiple Hypothesis Tracker (MHT) for track initiation and data association as shown in block 152. As shown in step 154 a hypothesis about data association, resolution and data quality is then made. The data is then processed in a state prediction filter such as a Kalman filter as shown in block 150.

Processing then proceeds to block 160 where public tracks are formed. Public tracks are tracks developed from data from any of the sensors, and data from such public tracks may ultimately provide data for sensor operation/resource scheduling. The public track information is provided to both an estimator as shown in block 162 and to a discrimination processor as shown in block 166.

The estimator output is provided to a best state vector estimator where best state vector estimates are provided as shown in block 164. The best state vector estimates are provided to the discrimination processor of block 166 and also to a vehicle control crash management operator interface as shown in block 168. The output of the discrimination process is provided to both the vehicle control crash management operator interface as shown in block 168 and to a scheduler for the sensors as shown in block 158. The scheduler for the sensors notifies the sensors in block 170 as to when the sensors should provide data as shown in block 150.

Having described the preferred embodiments of the invention, it will now become apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art that other embodiments incorporating their concepts may be used. It is felt therefore that these embodiments should not be limited to disclosed embodiments but rather should be limited only by the spirit and scope of the appended claims.



All publications and references cited herein are expressly incorporated herein by reference in their entirety.

What is claimed is:

0991631-081601